

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,737	08/28/2003	Hisayuki Kato	67161-088	5698
75	7590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			PRENTY, MARK V	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
G ,			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/649,737	KATO, HISAYUKI $(\emptyset$			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARK PRENTY	2822			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	8 August 2005.				
	This action is non-final.				
	<u>, </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	*•	• •			
Disposition of Claims	or an pario gaujio, 1000 c	.5			
	ing in the conlination				
4) Claim(s) 6,8,9,12,13 and 17-28 is/are pend	• ,,	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) <u>6,7,27 and 28</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,12,13 and 26</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,12,13 and 26</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con		• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur		·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050826			

Application/Control Number: 10/649,737

Art Unit: 2822

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on August 18, 2005.

Claims 17-25 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention.

Claim 26 is objected to because "the copper metal" lacks antecedent basis. Correction is required. Claims 9, 12 and 13 depend on claim 26 and are thus similarly objected to.

Claims 26, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent 6,175,145 to Lee et al. (Lee), already of record.

With respect to independent claim 26, Lee discloses (see the entire reference, including the Figs. 3-5 disclosure, for example) a semiconductor device formed on a substrate 1, comprising: an interconnection line 30 formed on the substrate and provided to structure a prescribed circuit; and a fuse 50 incorporated into said interconnection line, said fuse and a connection portion of said interconnection line electrically connected to the fuse (i.e., the "inner" portions of interconnection line 30 described at column 3, lines 50-54) being formed of different metals (i.e., copper and aluminum, respectively – see column 3, lines 40-46, and column 4, lines 42-44), wherein said fuse is formed of [the] copper metal formed in a damascene process and planarized by a CMP (Chemical Mechanical Polishing) process (see column 4, lines 18-38).

Claim 26 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,737

Art Unit: 2822

With respect to dependent claim 12, an oxidation speed of the (copper) metal forming Lee's fuse 50 is faster than an oxidation speed of the (aluminum) metal forming the connection portion of Lee's interconnection line 30.

Claim 12 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee.

With respect to dependent claim 13, Lee's fuse 50 is formed of a copper metal (again, see column 3, lines 40-46), and the connection portion of Lee's interconnection line 30 is formed of an aluminum metal (again, see column 4, lines 42-44).

Claim 13 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent 6,175,145 to Lee et al. (Lee, already of record) together with United States Patent 6,271,574 to Delpech et al. (Delpech), already of record.

Claim 9 depends on independent claim 26. The explanation of the above rejection of independent claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee is hereby incorporated by reference into this rejection of dependent claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee together with Delpech.

The difference between claim 9 and Lee is claim 9's fuse is formed from at least two portions different in width.

Delpech teaches that forming a fuse from at least two portions different in width increases its efficiency (see the entire patent, particularly the Fig. 3 disclosure).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in this art to form Lee's fuse from at least two portions different in width in order to increase its efficiency as taught by Delpech.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,737

Art Unit: 2822

Page 4

Claim 9 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee together with Delpech.

Claims 6, 7, 27 and 28 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Registered practitioners can telephone the examiner at (571) 272-1843. Any voicemail message left for the examiner must include the name and registration number of the registered practitioner calling, and the Application/Control (Serial) Number. Technology Center 2800's general telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Mark V. Prenty